## **REFEREE'S REPORT**

Name of the journal	ADVANCES IN MATHEMATICS: SCIENTIFIC JOURNAL
Manuscript No.	
Paper title	
Author(s)	

## Section I: Review

Details given in Section III.

A. Content				
Question	Choices	Reviewer's choice		
1. Is this paper of original value?	<ul><li>a. Yes, definitely</li><li>b. To some extend</li><li>c. Hardly</li><li>d. I can not judge this</li></ul>			
2. Is the paper scientifically correct?	a. Yes b. Probably, although all deta- ils have not been checked c. Doubtful			
3. To what extent will the results be useful for other scientists?	a. Large b. Average c. Small			
4. Is the bibliography reasonable?	a. Yes b. After minor modifications c. No			

B. Presentation				
Question	Choices	Reviewer's choice		
1. Is the title adequate?	a. Yes b. No			
2. Does the abstract give a correct description of the content?	a. Yes b. No			
3. Is the general organization of the paper acceptable?	a. Yes b. No			
4. With respect to the content, is the length of the paper reasonable?	a. Yes b. No, should decrease c. No, should increase			
5. How would you rate readability?	a. Good b. Some effort needed c. Large effort needed d. Unreadable			

## Section II: Recommendation

A. Acceptance		
	Alternatives	Reviewer's choice:
a.	Publish Unaltered	
b.	Publish with Minor, Required Changes (as noted in Section III)	
c.	Review Again After Major Changes (as noted in Section III)	
d.	Reject	

## Section III: Detailed Comments

Explanation why I rated the paper as I did in Sections I and II.